

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 11/12/2003 6851 10/712,401 Howard Alvin Lindsay 40983.0500 EXAMINER 7590 11/22/2005 Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. HUNTER, ALVIN A One Arizona Center ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 400 East Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 3711

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Then	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/712,401	LINDSAY, HOWA	LINDSAY, HOWARD ALVIN	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alvin A. Hunter	3711		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	June 2005.			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 17-20</u> is/are pending in th	e application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C. &	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	J	, (. , (. , (. , ,		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.	j	
Attachment(s)				
D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:		U-132)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3, 11, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Summary of the invention set forth that the face is detachable from the club head for the adjusting of the weight, however the face plate is welded to the body of the club head. How can the face plate be detachable?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen (USPN 5288070).

Regarding claim 1, Chen discloses a golf club head comprising a body combination having a body frame structure **10** defining an outer surface of the golf club

head and encompassing a filler matrix **20** wherein the outer surface of the filler matrix is at least in partial contact with the inner surface of the body frame and the inner surface of the filler matrix defines a cavity, and a face plate **40** wherein the body structure has a face plate aperture comprising a perimeter substantially coincident with the face plate and has a face plate receiving ridge upon which the face plate is retained on the body frame structure (See Figure 1 through 7).

Regarding claim 2, Chen discloses a fastening mechanism for fastening the faceplate to one of the frame structure and the filler matrix (See Columns 2 and 3).

Regarding claims 8, see the above regarding claim 1.

Regarding claim 9, see the above regarding claim 2.

Claims 1, 2, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lo (USPN 5410798).

Regarding claim 1, Lo discloses a golf club head comprising a body combination having a body frame structure 20 defining an outer surface of the golf club head and encompassing a filler matrix 30 wherein the outer surface of the filler matrix is at least in partial contact with the inner surface of the body frame and the inner surface of the filler matrix defines a cavity, and a face plate 21 wherein the body structure has a face plate aperture comprising a perimeter substantially coincident with the face plate and has a face plate receiving ridge upon which the face plate is retained on the body frame structure (See Figures 2 and 3).

Regarding claim 2, Lo discloses a fastening mechanism for fastening the face plate to the frame structure.

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claims 8, see the above regarding claim 1.

Regarding claim 9, see the above regarding claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 11, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo (USPN 5410798) in view of Anderson et al. (USPN 5094383).

Regarding claims 3 and 11, Lo does not disclose what type of fastening mechanism is used to fasten the face plate to the body. Anderson et al. discloses a club head wherein the face plate is welding to the body. It is also submitted that Anderson et al. also inherently teaches the use of a coolant system wherein the coolant system is air. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to attach the face plate to the body by welding, as taught by Anderson et al., in order to secure the face plate to the body.

Regarding claim 17, see the above regarding claim 1, 3, and 8

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Anderson et al. inherently discloses the heat sink and liquid bath being air because air is a substance used for cooling and it is also a fluid.

Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo (USPN 5410798) in view of Anderson (USPN 5261664).

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Lo does not disclose what type of fastening mechanism is used to fasten the face plate to the body. Anderson discloses the club head wherein the face plate is fasten to the body by removable and reattachable fasteners. As taught by Anderson, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to attach the face plate to the body using fasteners.

Claims 5-7, 12, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo (USPN 5410798) in view of Bliss et al. (USPN 6776723).

Regarding claims 5-7, 12, and 20, Lo does not disclose the club head having a tuning weight. Bliss et al. discloses a club head having a tuning weight attached to the interior surface of the club head body. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to attach a tuning weight to the body, as taught by Bliss et al. in order to adjust the center of gravity and inertia of the club head.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 and 17-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 6

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is (571) 272-4411. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim, can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3711

MAA

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

Page 7

EUGENE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jene 2